







United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Aldrews: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/813,445	03/20/2001	Hong Lin	09695-004001	3270	
7.	590 03/31/2003				
BING AI			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Fish & Richardson P.C. 4350 La Jolla Village Drive, Suite 500			MOONEY, N	MICHAEL P	
San Diego, CA	92122		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2877		
			DATE MAILED: 03/31/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)
(,		09/813,445	LIN ET AL.
• .	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
•		Michael P. Mooney	2877
Do	The MAILING DATE of this communicateriod for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of the two period for reply is specified above, the maximum statut. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir cory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, by statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
J.	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	Lon	
	, ,)⊠ This action is non-final.	
•	3) Since this application is in condition for	· — or allowance except for formal ma	
Di	closed in accordance with the practice isposition of Claims	e under <i>Ex paπe Quayle</i> , 1935 €.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
	4) Claim(s) $1-20$ is/are pending in the ap	plication.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from consideration.	
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed	53	
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12,15 and 18-20</u> is/are reje	cted.	
	7) Claim(s) 13,14 and 16 is/are objected	to.	
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election requirement.	
.Ap	pplication Papers		
÷	9) The specification is objected to by the E		
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		
	Applicant may not request that any object		
	11) The proposed drawing correction filed o		disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are requi	• •	
	riority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	y the Examiner.	
	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	or foreign priority under 35 H S C	8 110(a)-(d) or (f)
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	in foreign priority under 55 6.6.6.	3 113(B)-(G) Of (I).
	1. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received	
	_ , , ,	ocuments have been received in A	Application No
•	_ , , , ,	the priority documents have been	
,		ional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-
•	14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	domestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application
	a) The translation of the foreign languation as a claim for the foreign language.	•	
Att	tachment(s)		
2)	 ✓ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper 	0-948) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
.S. P	Patent and Trademark Office D-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No.





Application/Control Number: 09/813,445

Art Unit: 2877

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue. 2.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating 4. obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-12, 15, 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pan (5016963).

Pan (fig. 2) teaches a fiber device comprising a sleeve having an elongated tubular body with an input terminal and an output terminal;

an input fiber ferrule (IFF) placed in the sleeve at the input terminal;

a plurality of pump fibers bundled together at one fiber terminals by the IFF to form a pump fiber bundle, wherein end facets of the bundled fiber terminals are polished to form an optical pump coupling surface for outputting pump light from the pump fibers; an optical fiber ferrule placed in the sleeve at the output terminal;



Application/Control Number: 09/813,445

Art Unit: 2877

Pan does not expressly teach a double clad. This however would have been obvious because Pan does teach a multimode fiber here and it is notoriously well known (NWK)in the art to use a double clad in multimode fibers in such applications; the double clad elements of the claim are all NWK in such applications; and

a lens disposed in the sleeve between the input and output fiber ferrules; it is NWK to use such a lense such that the lens has a numerical aperture (NA) not greater than a NA of the inner cladding layer.

Thus claim 1 is rejected.

It is NWK to have an acute angle. Thus claim 2 is rejected.

Claims 3-5, 7-9, 10-12 also are NWK. Thus claims 3-5, 7-9, 10-12 are rejected.

Pan teaches a GRIN lens. Thus claim 6 is rejected.

By the reasons and references given above each element of claim 15 is also rejected.

Claims 17 is also NWK. Thus claims 17 is rejected.

By the reasons and references given above each element of method claims 18-20 is also rejected.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 13-14, 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.



Art Unit: 2877

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael P. Mooney whose telephone number is 703-308-6125. The examiner can normally be reached during weekdays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank G. Font can be reached on 703-308-4881. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7721 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956. An alternative useful number for status inquiries is 703-306-3329.

Michael P. Mooney

Examiner Art Unit 2877

FGF/mpm

3/24/03

Frank G. Font

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2877